From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:				•	PCT		
	see form	PCT/ISA/220		INTERNATION (F	TEN OPINION OF THE JAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT Rule 43 bis.1) a form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)		
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220				FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below			
International application No. PCT/EP2004/000078			International filing date (a 08.01.2004	(day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 09.01.2003			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC C08K11/00, C08J5/18							
Applicant BOREALIS TECHNOLOGY OY							
1.	This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: □ Box No. I □ Basis of the opinion □ Box No. II □ Priority □ Box No. III □ Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability □ Box No. IV □ Lack of unity of invention □ Box No. V □ Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement □ Box No. VI □ Certain documents cited □ Box No. VII □ Certain defects in the international application □ Box No. VIII □ Certain observations on the international application						
2.	2. FURTHER ACTION						
	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.						
_	For further option	•					
3.	For further detail	s, see notes to l	Form PCT/ISA/220.				

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016 Authorized Officer

Siemens, T

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3642



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/000078

	Box No. I Basis of the opinion						
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).						
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:						
a. type of material:							
	☐ a sequence listing						
	☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing						
	b. format of material:						
	☐ in written format						
	☐ in computer readable form						
	c. time of filing/furnishing:						
	□ contained in the international application as filed.						
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.						
	☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.						
3.	☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.						
4	Additional comments:						

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/000078

	Box No. II	Priority				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
i.	i. ☑ The following document has not been furnished:					
☐ copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(a)).						
☐ translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and						been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.						
2.	2. This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.					
3.	. Additional observations, if necessary:					
	Box No. V industrial a	Reasoned state applicability; citati				n regard to novelty, inventive step or such statement
1.	Statement					
	Novelty (N)		Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-21	
	Inventive st	ep (IS)	Yes:	Claims		
			No:	Claims	1-21	
	Industrial ap	oplicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-21	•
		•	110.	J.4		
2.	Citations an	d explanations				

see separate sheet

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/000078

Re Item V.

1 The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: EP 0 658 310 A (WOLFF WALSRODE AG) 21 June 1995 (1995-06-21)

D2: DATABASE WPI Section PQ, Week 200201

Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; Class P84, AN 2002-005686

XP002248165

&; JP 2001 281965 A (RICOH KK) 10

October 2001 (2001-10-10)

Novelty

D1 discloses a stretched article consisiting of a polymer composition which comprises a polyolefin matrix and a dispersed nanofiller. The composition shows the claimed oxygen as well as moisture transfer rate (see p. 9, table 1). Therefore D1 destroys the novelty of claims 1-21.

D2 discloses a stretched article containing a nanofiller which is dispersed therein. Hence D2 destroys the novelty of claim 1.